BDCM Comments to DART IC

Presented by

Robert G. Tardiff, Ph.D., ATS *The Sapphire Group, Inc.*Bethesda, Maryland

On Behalf of
Chlorine Chemistry Division
American Chemistry Council
Arlington, Virginia



Data Summary

Epidemiology:

- 9 studies looked at 8 reproductive / developmental measures
- ◆ 6 of 8 measures = no associations
- ◆ 1 measure (spontaneous abortion) shown to be a false positive by a far more robust negative study
- 1 measure (neural tube defect) was equivocal (one + / one -)

Toxicology:

- ◆ State of the art study = no developmental toxicity
- State of the art 2-generation study = no reproductive toxicity
- ◆ 3 Hypothesis-generating studies under physiologically unrealistic circumstances are not relevant to humans exposed to BDCM in chlorinated water



Overall Conclusion

◆ Epidemiology and toxicology studies indicate that BDCM has <u>not been</u> <u>clearly shown</u> to be a reproductive toxicant in humans or laboratory animals



Approach

- Review and evaluate relevant and available epidemiology and toxicology data
- Major data sources:
 - ◆ Review by Graves et al., 2001
 - ◆ Review by Tardiff et al., 2006
 - ◆ An additional epidemiology study: Nieuwenhuijsen et al., 2007
 - ◆ An additional toxicology study: Bielmeier et al., 2007



Epidemiology Findings Related to BDCM

- Oral cleft: 1 study, no association [Dodds & King, 2001]
- Cardiac defects: 1 study, no association [Dodds & King, 2001]
- Chromosomal abnormalities: 1 study, no association [Dodds & King, 2001]
- Stillbirth: 1 study, no association [Dodds et al., 2004]
- Intra-uterine growth retardation:
 3 studies, no association [Infante-Rivard, 2004; Hinkley et al., 2005; Porter et al., 2005]



Epidemiology FindingsRelated to BDCM (cont)

- **Small for gestational age**: 1 study, no association [Wright et al., 2004]
- Preterm delivery: 2 studies, no association [Wright et al., 2004; Savitz et al., 2005]
- **Neural tube defects**: 2 studies, results equivocal [(+) Dodds & King, 2001; (-) Klotz & Pyrch, 1998]
- **Spontaneous abortion**: 2 studies, one association [Waller et al., 1998] followed by another of far more robust design with no association [Savitz et al., 2005]



Conclusion

 Epidemiology studies indicate that BDCM has <u>not been clearly shown</u> to be a reproductive toxicant in humans



Reproductive Toxicity Study (Christian et al., 2002)

- Two-generation contemporary design for BDCM continuously in tap water (30 P/dose group)
- Doses to SD rats = 0, 50 150, 450, and 900 mg/L
 [= 0 to 109 mg/kg-day]
- No abnormalities observed in F1 & F2
- Statistically significant effects at 2 top doses of P & F1: Mortality & clinical signs associated with reduced absolute and relative water intake, reduced body weights & gains, & reduced feed consumption, delayed sexual maturation
- No toxicity in F2 generation
- NOAEL for F1 = at least 50 mg/L (≈ 4.1 – 12.6 mg/kg-day or 5,125 – 15,750 times human adult exposure)



Developmental Toxicity Study (Christian *et al.*, 2001)

- One-generation contemporary design for BDCM in tap water (25/dose group)
- Doses:
 - ◆ □ SD rats = 0, 50 150, 450, and 900 mg/L [= 0 to 82 mg/kg-day]
 - SPF rabbits = 0, 15, 150, 450, and 900 mg/L [= 0 to 55 mg/kg-day]
- Maternal pathology in rats & rabbits:
 - ◆ Reduced abs. & rel. H2O consumption (← 50 ppm)
 - ◆ Reduced body weight gain (← 450 ppm)
 - ◆ Reduced relative food consumption (← 450 ppm)
- Additional maternal pathology in rabbits:
 - ◆ Weight loss (900 ppm)
- No skeletal changes & no changes in embryo-fetal viability



Developmental Toxicity Study (Christian *et al.*, 2001)

- Pathology in Rat Fetuses
 - Minimal delay in ossification of forepaws & phalanges & hindpaws & metatarsals (900 ppm); effects were marginal, reversible, & associated with severely reduced maternal weight gain
- NOAEL, Maternal:
 - ◆ Rat = 18.4 mg/kg-day (150 ppm)
 - ◆ Rabbit = 13.4 mg/kg-day (150 ppm)
- NOAEL, Developmental:
 - ♦ Rat = 45 mg/kg-day (450 ppm)
 - ◆ Rabbit = 55.3 mg/kg-day (900 ppm)
- Margin of Exposure: ≈ 56,000 to 70,000 between developmental NOAEL (rat, rabbit) and human doses [≈ 0.0008 mg/kg-day]



Data Summary

Epidemiology:

- 9 studies looked at 8 reproductive / developmental measures
- ♦ 6 of 8 measures = no associations
- ◆ 1 measure (SAB) showed to be a false positive by a far more robust negative study
- ◆ 1 measure (NTD) was equivocal (one + / one -)

Toxicology:

- ◆ State of the art study = no developmental toxicity
- State of the art 2-generation study = no reproductive toxicity
- 3 Hypothesis-generating studies at physiologically unrealistic circumstances are not relevant to humans exposed to BDCM in chlorinated water



Overall Conclusion

◆ Epidemiology and toxicology studies indicate that BDCM has not been clearly shown to be a reproductive toxicant in humans or laboratory animals



Regulatory Consideration

Proposition 65 exempts
 drinking water:
 See Sections 12502 and
 25249.11 of Statute



A & **D**

Thank you

